











Role of satellite imagery

ETH

- Imagery an increasingly important source for base data acquisition and update
- Satellite images generally cheaper than aerial images
- Repetitive coverage of large, remote or forbidden areas
- Many satellites, increasing number in future
- Aerial imagery often not readily available in developing countries (also military restrictions)

E. Baltsavias - ISPRS Tutorial, AfricaGIS 2005, Tshwane (Pretoria)

High spatial resolution (HR) satellites

- Ground Sampling Distance (GSD) down to 0.61 m, 0.4 m in 2007, 0.25 in 2008?
- Almost all are stereo capable
- High geometric accuracy potential
- Increasing support by commercial software packages
- But,

ETH

the factories and a surface of the care of a surface of the surfac

- Not high availability. Hopes for improved availability with more such systems planned.
- High costs. Hopes for lower costs with increasing competition and noncommercial systems (like Japanese ALOS-PRISM, 2.5 m PAN GSD, to be launched soon) and small, low-cost HR satellites (like Topsat, UK)



Mission or Satellite	Ikonos-2	Quickbird-2	Orbview-3	SPOT 5	IRS-P5 (Cartosat-1)	FormoSat-2 (formerly ROCSat-2)	EROS A1	Cosmos ¹ , many missions	Corona (KH- 1 to KH-4), many missions	KH-7, many missions
Sensor	OSA	BHRC60	OHRIS	HRG, HRS	2 PAN cameras	RSI	PIC	KVR 1000 panoramic camera (2 working alternatively)	Stereo panoramic cameras	High Resolution Surveillance Camera
Country	USA	USA	USA	France, Belgium, Sweden	India	Taiwan	Israel	Russia	USA	USA
System type	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial	Military, declassified	Military, declassified
Launch date or duration	9/1999	10/2001	6/2003	5/2002	5/2005	5/2004	12/2000	1981-2000	1960-1972	1963-1967
Sensor type	digital	digital	digital	digital	digital	digital	digital	film	film	film
PAN GSD (m) (across x along track)	1	0.61	1	5 or 2.5-3 (oversampled) HRG 10 x 5 HRS	2.5	2	1.9 1 or 1.4 (oversampled)	2	2-140	At nadir down to 0.45-0.5
PAN Pixels of line CCD / Pixel spacing (µm)	13,816 / 12	27,568 / 12	8,000 / 6 x 5.4, unknown if numbers here for staggered or not	12,000 (2 lines for HRG) / 6.5	12,288 / 7	12,000 / 6.5	7,043 (2 lines) / ca. 13	NA	NA	NA
Flying height (km), Focal length (m)	681, 10	450, 8.832	470, 2.77	818-833, 1.082 HRG 0.58 HRS	618, 1.98	888, 2.896	ca. 500, 3.4	Variable (190-270), 1	Variable, 0.6069	Variable, 0.96

Mission or Satellite	Ikonos-2	Quickbird-2	Orbview-3	SPOT 5	IRS-P5 (Cartosat-1)	FormoSat-2	EROS A1	Cosmos, many missions	Corona (KH-1 to KH-4), many missions	KH-7, many missions
No. of MS Channels / GSD (m)	4/4	4/2.44	4/4	(excl. Vegetation instrument) 4 / 10 and 20	0	4/8	0	0	0	very few color & CIR images
Stereo ²	along-track, across-track	along-track, across-track	along-track, across-track	along-track, across-track	along-track	along-track, across-track	along-track, across-track	no stereo	along- track	few images in stereo
Swath width (km) or Image film dimensions (cm)	11	16.5	8	60 HRG, 120 HRS	30	24	14, 10 for oversampled images	18 x 72 (across)	5.54 x 75.69 (across)	22.8 x variable (across)
Field Of Regard ³ (deg)	45, up to 60 deg images shot	45	50	27 (HRG, only across track)	NA	45	45	NA	NA	NA
TDI	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	NA	NA	NA
Along track triplette ability	Y	?	?	N	N	?	Y	NA	N	N
Body rotation angular rate ⁴ (deg/sec)	up to > 1	0.5-1.1	?	NA	NA	0.4-0.75	1.8	NA	NA	NA

ETH and the last e de Specifications of current HR satellite missions (status July 2005) Bright & Quickbird-2 Orbview-3 SPOT 5 IRS-P5 FormoSat-2 EROS A1 Cosmos Corona (KH- KH-7, Mission or Ikonos-2 Satellite (Cartosat-1) many missio 1 to KH-4), many ission man, mission FOV (deg) or film area covera<mark>ge</mark> 4.13 HRG 7.7 HRS 0.93 2.12 0.97 2.49 1.54 1.5 40 x 160 km 14 x 189 (typical) (typical) No. of 11 11 10 12 11 NA NA NA 11 quantizatio bits 190,000-270,000 Variable, ca. 250,000 Scale 68,100 51,100 170,000 762,500 HRG, 312,000 307,000 145,000 Variable 1,422,500 factor HRS typical up to 100 6-12 Stereo overlap (%) B/H ratio up to 1.1 HRG, 0.8 (40 deg.) HRS variable variable variable 0.62 (31 variable variable NA 0.60 (30 deg.) deg.)

¹ Actual name is Kometa Space Mapping System, on-board of Cosmos satellites, which have been used for other purposes too.

 2 Along-track is often used as synonymous to quasi-simultaneous (QS) stereo image acquisition (time difference in the order of 1 min), while across-track as synonymous to different orbit (DO) stereo image acquisition. Later definition is wrong. Agile satellites can acquire QS stereo images across-track, while with other satellites like SPOT-5 across-track means DO stereo.

³ The Field Of Regard is given here as +/- the numbers in the table. It is valid for all pointing directions, except for SPOT-5 where it refers only to across-track. Some satellites can acquire images with even smaller sensor elevation than the one mentioned in the table under certain restrictions (e.g. Ikonos images with 30 deg elevation have been acquired).

 4 The angular rate generally increases, the longer the rotation time period is.





Important characteristics of HRS

• Rotation of satellite from S to N done also for other reasons a) to achieve a smaller GSD (the nominal one) in flight direction

With Quickbird, GSD in flight direction would be larger than 0.61 m in PAN, for the given satellite speed and pixel integration time. Thus, the satellite rotates from S to N a bit to achieve 0.621 m GSD. This happens in both Reverse and Forward mode !
Satellite body rotation can introduce nonlinearities in the imaging geometry.
b) to increase pixel integration time and achieve better image quality, when the sensor

does not use TDI, e.g. EROS A1

This feature is inferior to TDI, can introduce nonlinearities in the imaging geometry and may cause pixel and edge smearing (unsharpness)

In both cases, the imaged earth part (given often as line scan frequency for line CCDs), is shorter than the ground track of the satellite. A linescan frequency of e.g. 1500 lines/s, means 1/1500 s (0.67 ms) integration time (IT). Note: linear CCDs can have an exposure time (effective IT) smaller than the nominal IT. We assume that satellite firms use the term IT in the sense of exposure time.





is fails as hard all a local d Important characteristics of HRS Multispectral CCDs - Often the pixel size given by the firms, e.g. 48 microns for Ikonos and Quickbird, is not correct. - Linear CCDs with so large pixel size not available in standard products - Usually the MS CCDs are identical to the PAN CCDs with very thin filters covering the pixels, thus for Ikonos and Quickbird they have 12 microns pixel size. - The larger effective pixel size (e.g. 48 microns) is achieved in scanning direction by increasing the integration time (e.g. for Ikonos by 4) and in the CCD line direction by averaging (binning) of pixels (e.g. 4 pixels) - This mode of generation leads to better image quality than producing images with real 48 microns pixel size. This may explain why geometric accuracy with MS images is only about 2 times worse than that of PAN, and not 4 times as might have been expected. E. Baltsavias - ISPRS Tutorial, AfricaGIS 2005, Tshwane (Pretoria)

ETH











Noise characteristics analyzed in areas: • homogeneous (lake and sea surfaces)
homogeneous (lake and sea surfaces)
Image type Mean std. dev.
PAN-MSI 5.2
MSI 2.0
PAN 4.6
PAN-DRA 5.0

	Rad	iometr	ic Qua	lity		
Noise	charact	eristics a	nalyzed in	areas (PA	N images):	
• non-	homoge	eneous (w	hole imag	ge excludir	ng large hon	nog. areas
GV range	0-127	128-255	256-383	384-511	512-639	640-767
Raw Image	2.6	3.1	4.1	4.7	5.6	6.6
with Noise Reduction	0.8	1	1.3	1.5	1.8	2.5
• Noi	ise gene	erally incre	eases with	n intensity		
• Noi • Ada	ise gene aptive fi	erally incre Itering ree	eases with duces nois	n intensity se by ca. fa	ictor 3	
• Noi • Ada	ise gene aptive fi	erally incre Itering ree	eases with duces nois	n intensity se by ca. fa	actor 3	
• Noi • Ada	ise gene aptive fi	rally incre	eases with duces nois	n intensity se by ca. fa	actor 3	





















E. Baltsavias - ISPRS Tutorial, AfricaGIS 2005, Tshwane (Pretoria)





<page-header><page-header><page-header><page-header><image><image><image>



his hard little a frame production of the set of the se	. Services
Sensor Modeling and Block Adjustment (for linear CCDs)	
Rigorous sensor model	
+ Physical imaging geometry (nearly parallel projection in along-track and perspective p	projection across-
track); high accuracy; easier for statistical analysis	
 Mathematically more complicated; depends on sensor type; often many parameters in interior orientation; many parameters are highly correlated. 	nvolved, especially in the
Sensor model based on RPCs	
+ RPCs (e.g. for IKONOS, Quickbird) provided by firms computed from rigorous model.	, not using simply GCPs !
+ Need of corrections, else errors can be 10-20m to several hundred m	
+ At ETHZ, RPCs and then	
- 2 shifts (RPC1)	
- affine transformation (RPC2)	
+ Ikonos: 2 shifts suffice, scale in strip direction needed, if strip long, e.g. > 50 km (Grow	decki & Dial, 2003)
+ Quickbird: affine transformation needed, due to nonlinearities	
+ Min. 1-3 ground control points (GCPs) needed	
Simple sensor models (terrain corrected 2D affine, 3D affine, DLT) (Fraser et al., 2002)	
+ For Ikonos similar or slightly worse accuracy, except forward scan (where accuracy d	decreases due to body rotation
+ With Quickbird much worse accuracy than with RPCs (due to nonlinearities)	
+ Min. 3-4 GCPs needed	



Sensor models
 Sensor models
 OCPs
 important their quality, not their number
 try to use well defined points (centers of circular objects), straight lines with large
 intersection angle. Measure them semi-automatically (ca. 0.1 pixel accuracy).
 distribution of GCPs can be suboptimal (e.g. 1/3 of image dimensions covered),
 but safer to have a good planimetric and height distribution.
 lonos vs. Quickbird
 Similar accuracy although Quickbird smaller GSD
 Quickbird: due to higher satellite speed and lower flying height, less frequent
 stereo images than Ikonos, no triplette possible?
 E.Batsavias – ISPRS Tutorial, AfricaGIS 2005, Tshwane (Pretoria)









ETH Experiments instruction with the series for the series instruction of the series o

Sensor Modeling and Block Adjustment (results from Thun, CH)

Comparison of sensor models and number of GCPs for the IKONOS triplet (T_DEC_O). CPs are check points.

Sensor Model	GCPs (CPs)	X-RMSE (max. error) [m]	Y-RMSE (max. error) [m]	Z-RMSE (max. error) [m]
M_RPC2	22 (0)	0.32 (0.70)	0.78 (1.53)	0.55 (0.78)
M_RPC2	18 (4)	0.33 (0.80)	0.79 (1.48)	0.56 (1.41)
M_RPC2	12 (10)	0.32 (0.73)	0.82 (1.64)	0.60 (1.04)
M_RPC2	5 (17)	0.44 (1.04)	0.92 (1.83)	0.65 (1.15)
M_RPC1	22 (0)	0.35 (0.82)	0.41 (0.91)	0.67 (0.80)
M_3DAFF	22 (0)	0.32 (0.73)	0.78 (1.50)	0.55 (0.78)

Comparison of sensor models and number of GCPs for the IKONOS triplet (T DEC N). CPs are check points.

Sensor Model	GCPs (CPs)	X-RMSE (max. error) [m]	Y-RMSE (max. error) [m]	Z-RMSE (max. error) [m]
M_RPC2	22 (0)	0.37 (0.70)	0.32 (0.79)	0.48 (1.07)
M_RPC2	18 (4)	0.38 (0.79)	0.33 (0.75)	0.50 (0.98)
M_RPC2	12 (10)	0.40 (0.92)	0.35 (0.85)	0.69 (1.66)
M_RPC2	5 (17)	0.45 (1.08)	0.43 (0.96)	0.76 (1.86)
M_RPC1	22 (0)	0.37 (0.76)	0.34 (0.66)	0.64 (1.26)
M_3DAFF	22 (0)	0.43 (0.89)	0.53 (0.90)	0.76 (1.83)



Sensor Mod	eling – 2D Po	sitionin	g (lkon	os resu	Ilts from	Melbourne, Fraser (2001
Object poir	nt XYZ coordir	nates tr	ansform	ned to	pixel coo	ordinates via RPCs
	Pi	xel coo	ordinate	differe	nces	
		201	Ellips	e fitting		
		Left I	mage	Right Image		
		x	x y		x y	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Mean	28.94	-16.07	28.00	-16.52	
	Stand. Dev.	0.40	0.35	0.56	0.46	
	and the second		21.0	ar put		

