# Inflight intersensor radiometric calibration using vicarious approaches

K. Thome Remote Sensing Group, Optical Sciences Center University of Arizona

### **Talk overview**

#### Introduction

- Preflight calibration
- Vicarious calibration
- ETM+ Reflectance-based results as example
  - Average of all dates
  - Subsampling
  - Temporal data and outliers
- Sensor intercomparison
  - MODIS
  - Other NASA ESE AM constellation sensors
- Concluding remarks

# Introduction

As has been discussed there are both preflight and inflight methods for calibration of sensors

- Consider the solar reflective
- Typical approach is to have the sensor view a known source
  - Carefully following protocols provides a calibration with "known" accuracy and precision
  - Allows sensors to be compared directly
  - Travelling standards increases confidence that two sensors should be comparable
- Preflight calibration and characterization is critical to understand the sensor
  - Many tests cannot be done well on orbit

Other tests are critical for fully understanding the sensor

# **Need for inflight calibration**

# Inflight calibration is needed due to the uncertainties in going to orbit

- Difficult to predict fully the inflight behavior using laboratory approaches
  - Size of source
  - Spectral effects
- Behavior of the sensor is different on orbit
  - Sensor degradation
  - Lack of gravity





### Vicarious approaches

Vicarious approaches are useful for inflight calibration since they won't degrade over time

- Examples are
  - Lunar approaches have been successful for several sensors
  - Rayleigh scattering
  - Desertic scenes
- Reflectance-based approach is used here as an example
  - Described previously
  - Measure the surface and the atmosphere at time of sensor overpass
  - Results of measurements go into a radiative transfer code to predict at sensor radiance

#### Intersensor comparison

Precision of vicarious approaches is now at a level to allow them to be used for sensor intercomparisons

- Not proposing a cross-calibration method
- More similar to the concept that two sensors calibrated in the same laboratory should agree with each other
   Laboratory calibration based on traceable standards
   Consistent application of the laboratory protocols
- Likewise, two sensors vicariously calibrated by the same approach can be compared to examine for biases between them
  - Vicarious method is consistent in its application
    Accuracy is not critical at this point
- Well understood traceability and sensor-to-sensor effects needed to allow comparisons between different methods

#### **Overview of ETM+ data sets**

#### Begin with ETM+ results as a starting point

- Recall characteristics of ETM+
  - Wide "swath using whiskbroom scanning"
  - Multispectral
  - 30-m ground spatial resolution
- Use ETM+ for several reasons
  - A total of 61 data sets exist from all RSG test sites for the lifetime of Landsat-7
  - Results show little to no degradation since launch
  - Vicarious results agree with the preflight and onboard calibration to within the uncertainties of the methods
- Used Chkur solar model from MODTRAN
- Additional 25 data sets not usable due to poor weather, ground instrumentation failures, and lack of sensor data

# **Most-used RSG test sites**



# **Test Site Spectral reflectance**



#### **ETM+ results - Large sites**

Average percent difference between preflight calibration and reflectance-based calibration results



#### **ETM+** temporal results

Band 4 results showing preflight calibration as well as average and standard deviation of vicarious

- Indicates little to no trend
- Other bands show similar results



# **ETM+** outliers

Clear from previous viewgraph that the results from some dates are not consistent



# **Resampling ETM+ results**

Show the original average percent difference as well as 10 other cases based on five data point averages

- Five data points were selected randomly
- All averages agree within the original precision of full data set



#### Intercomparison approach

Confident that the precision of the reflectance-based approach allows repeatable results for a given sensor

- Evaluation of the approach for other sensors shows similar precision values
- Possible to compare the reflectance-based results for multiple sensors
   G Terra MODIS
  - Compute average percent difference for two sensors
  - Compare the percent difference between sensors



Biases between vicarious 645 nm 1640 nm and sensors is not examined at this point
 No attempt to say which sensor is correct
 Traceability studies and accuracy assessments should allow bias studies

# **MODIS/ETM+** example

Begin with the example of comparing MODIS and ETM+ data

- MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
  - Launched by NASA in 1999 on the Terra platform and in 2002 on the Aqua platform
  - Large swath
  - 36 spectral bands dedicated to ocean, land, and atmosphere studies
  - 250 m, 500 m, and 1000 m resolution
- Advantages to using MODIS as an example are
  - All three sensors built at Santa Barbara Remote Sensing
  - Terra MODIS within 45 minutes of ETM+ in its orbit

Note, that Terra and Aqua MODIS cannot view the RSG test sites on the same day at near-nadir view

# **MODIS/ETM+** intercomparison

- Bands shown are a subset to illustrate approach
- Want to focus on how results compare to each other for a given band



# **MODIS/ETM+** intercomparison

- All unsaturated bands of MODIS are shown now
- Only 469-nm band has disagreement larger than the standard deviations (Aqua MODIS and ETM+)



#### More sensor comparisons

 Average percent difference and 1-σ standard deviation shown here for ALI, ASTER, ETM+, Hyperion, and Aqua & Terra MODIS

#### VNIR bands only shown for clarity



#### More sensor intercomparisons

- SWIR bands shown here
- Hyperion not shown due to lack of data sets
- Note the small standard deviations of ALI



#### Hyperspectral application

Approach not limited to multispectral bands
Results here show all VNIR bands of Hyperion for the five data sets

Note the consistency in standard deviation with wavelength indicating differences are consistent



# **Protocol establishment**

#### A development of protocols is necessary In order for this philosophy to work well

- Does not mean all groups must do the same things
- Does mean that all groups should collect a similar basis set of data to allow similar processing
- Within a group, the effort should be to do the best job to repeatably collect similar data sets over time
  - When equipment or methodologies change there should be careful intercomparisons within that group
  - The RSG followed this mentality for ETM+
    - Same basic aerosol distribution and composition
    - Similar equipment
    - Careful set up and characterization of reflectance references
    - Surface reflectance measurement schemes

#### Issues

Multiple issues still affect the consistency of the comparisons within a method and between methods

- The solar irradiance issue is avoided in this work since all comparisons are self consistent
  - This issue cannot be avoided for much longer
  - As long as users convert data to reflectance before comparisons there should not be a problem
- Need multiple groups using the same methods with similar protocols
- Need multiple approaches
- Accuracy assessments of vicarious methods are needed in order to compare between methods and to fill spectral gaps
- Size of source impacts comparisons between methods

### Conclusions

# Vicarious methods can be used for sensor intercomparisons

- Does not require coincident collections
  - Does require consistent application of a single method
  - Best when there is consistent sensor collection methodologies (view angles, protocols)
- Results shown here showed some small biases between several sensors
  - Biases could be real
  - Shows need for multiple intercomparison methods
  - In the case of large biases a decision must be made regarding the "right" answer
- Vicarious methods have become more repeatable
- Vicarious methods are an excellent method for ensuring consistency of sensors over time and across platforms

