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1. INTRODUCTION

In the digital processing of satellite borne synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data, a vast amount of data should be processed in very high speed. It is very advantageous if these amount of data is reduced in order to minimize data storage area in the processor and to achieve high speed fixed point operation utilizing this reduced size word length.

This paper describes the result on image quality assessment in the data amount reduction by data quantization.

2. METHOD OF IMAGE QUALITY EVALUATION

To reduce data amount in the SAR data processing, quantization of intermediate data file is very effective. In the quantization, limit of bit number reduction should be assessed by the evaluation of image quality. Since both the dynamic range of processed image and the radiometric resolution degrade due to the quantization, they are the good measure to evaluate the degradation.

Dynamic range of the image can be calculated by the ratio of the level of the brightest point to the level of the darkest point in the processed image data when the scene is ground including urban area. Radiometric resolution can be measured by the quantity \( \delta \) where

\[
\delta = 10 \log_{10}(1 + \frac{\text{Std.dev}}{\text{mean}})
\]

from the mean and standard deviation of smooth area. This definition of radiometric resolution is based on Brooks and Miller (1979).

3. SAR DATA PROCESSING

SAR images to be evaluated have been generated from the Seasat-1 SAR raw data which is 5bit digital raw data.

To provide good understanding of evaluated image, the processing block sequence depicting each processing stage is shown in Fig.1.

The software has been developed by ourselves and modified for intermediate quantization. Range compressed complex data has been quantized as follows

\[
I_o = I_{\text{FIX}}(A_{\text{in}}/(C \cdot \text{Std}) \cdot I_{\text{max}}/2 + I_{\text{max}}/2)\quad (-I_{\text{max}}/2 \leq A_{\text{in}} \leq I_{\text{max}}/2)
\]

\[
0\quad (A_{\text{in}} \leq I_{\text{max}}/2)
\]

\[
I_{\text{max}}, (A_{\text{in}} > I_{\text{max}}/2)
\]
where \( A_{\text{in}}, C \) and \( \text{Std} \) are the input floating point number, normalize constant and standard deviation of range compressed first range line data, respectively. The normalize constant has been set 2.0 or 0.05 depending on the quantization bit number. \( \text{Imax} \) is expressed as

\[
\text{Imax} = 2^M - 1
\]

where \( M \) is quantization bit number.

4. PROCESSED IMAGE AND DATA EVALUATION

4.1 Processed Image

Several images has been produced where floating point operation and quantization from 16bit to 1bit has been achieved. Fig.2 is the output scene of floating point operation. This image is the reference image to the images produced by intermediate quantization. Fig.3 to Fig.9 are examples of quantized operation. In the inspection by human eye, no degradation can be observed from 16bit to 3bit. In the 1bit quantized operation, image quality is very poor. This quality is improved by reducing normalizing constant.

4.2 Dynamic Range

Dynamic Range in the scene has been measured using processed images. Table 1 shows the image levels of the same target in the different images. For distributed target, average level of 21 by 21 pixels of smooth area is shown. From the result, very large level such as 50dB higher than average distributed target level degrade 6dB from floating point operation. This degradation is not severe because most of high level return is by artificial coherent target and is not important for SAR Image data applications. For distributed target, relative image value does not degrade exceeding \( \pm 0.3 \text{dB} \) even 3dB quantization.

4.3 Radiometric Resolution

Radiometric resolution has also been evaluated. Like dynamic range evaluation, same target in the different images has been measured. Table 2 shows the radiometric resolution. From the tabulated data, it can be concluded that radiometric resolution changes very few and almost negligible.
5. CONCLUSION

From the numeric evaluation it is concluded that data reduction by quantization in the intermediate processing does not affect for distributed target of SAR scene. Degradation of dynamic range affects only very large level and it does not restrict the SAR data applications.

Since data amount can be reduced significantly by the proposed quantization processing, large area can be processed at a time and data I/O time is saved. From the result it can also be supposed that on-board range compression and quantization by small bit number is effective to reduce data transfer rate.
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Fig. 1 Processing Block Sequence
Fig. 2 SAR image by floating point operation.

Fig. 3 SAR image by 16BIT quantization.

Fig. 4 SAR image by 12BIT quantization.

Fig. 5 SAR image by 5BIT quantization.
Fig. 6 SAR image by 3BIT quantization.

Fig. 7 SAR image by 1BIT quantization (C=2.0).

Fig. 8 SAR image by 1BIT quantization (C=1.0).

Fig. 9 SAR image by 1BIT quantization (C=.05).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>FLORTING</th>
<th>16BIT</th>
<th>8BIT</th>
<th>5BIT</th>
<th>3BIT</th>
<th>1BIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOODS 1</td>
<td>4.947</td>
<td>4.994</td>
<td>5.185</td>
<td>5.066</td>
<td>4.498</td>
<td>2.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODS 2</td>
<td>5.105</td>
<td>5.130</td>
<td>5.383</td>
<td>5.277</td>
<td>4.7097</td>
<td>2.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRPORT</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRASS</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>1.353</td>
<td>1.330</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HILL</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>3.320</td>
<td>3.354</td>
<td>3.263</td>
<td>3.0195</td>
<td>1.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIVER</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td>2.040</td>
<td>1.9921</td>
<td>2.089</td>
<td>1.9807</td>
<td>1.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard Target 1</td>
<td>2078.2</td>
<td>1842.2</td>
<td>1414.4</td>
<td>1388.61</td>
<td>1203.45</td>
<td>214.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(66.46dB)</td>
<td>(65.31dB)</td>
<td>(63.01dB)</td>
<td>(62.85dB)</td>
<td>(61.61dB)</td>
<td>(46.6dB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard Target 2</td>
<td>233.1</td>
<td>234.6</td>
<td>240.6</td>
<td>234.93</td>
<td>210.92</td>
<td>70.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Dynamic Range (Relative Amplitude)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>1BIT</th>
<th>2BIT</th>
<th>3BIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOODS 1</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>1.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODS 2</td>
<td>1.611</td>
<td>1.568</td>
<td>1.5947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRPORT</td>
<td>1.627</td>
<td>1.572</td>
<td>1.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRASS</td>
<td>1.569</td>
<td>1.547</td>
<td>1.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HILL</td>
<td>1.840</td>
<td>1.829</td>
<td>1.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIVER</td>
<td>1.861</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td>1.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>