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ABSTRACT:
In this paper we describe some requirements which an ideal geographic information system (GIS) must meet to cope with the challenges of the future. We look at data modelling, the integration of geographic information science and photogrammetry, update and refinement of a geospatial database, and data integration. We claim that data modelling needs to be carried out in 3D based on a topologic data structure with the possibility for incorporating change. Photogrammetric operations such as the generation of digital terrain models or the manual and automatic acquisition of vector data from imagery should be considered as modules of future GIS, which should also have efficient mechanisms for incremental updating and versioning. Finally, the integration of all types of data should be possible, e.g., various vector data sets as well as DTMs and images.

We illustrate the requirements with the help of three examples, one describing data acquisition and modelling in an interdisciplinary project, one dealing with quality control and update using imagery, and the last one presenting an algorithm for the integration of a 2D data set and a DTM.

While we believe that the discussed requirements are vital for the development of GIS we are aware of the fact that other issues such as database design, visualisation, and geospatial infrastructure not discussed in this paper, are of similar importance for the field.

1. INTRODUCTION
Geospatial information, i.e., information about objects and facts with spatial reference, is an essential part of the national and international infrastructure for the information society. It is estimated that some 80% of our daily decisions rely on geospatial information. Geographic information systems (GIS), which allow for the acquisition, storage, manipulation, analysis, visualisation, and dissemination of geospatial information are therefore of prime interest to the society at large. This implicit definition of a GIS follows the well-known IMAP model (input, management, analysis, presentation), but adds the aspect of dissemination of the information, because the latter has become a major focus of research and development and economic activity.

GIS have received major attention over recent years. There are various breeds of commercially available GIS which can broadly be classified into (1) complete GIS with the full range of functionality, (2) desktop GIS with a reduced functionality mainly used for visualising existing data and simple analysis, (3) GIS database servers which are essentially spatial database management systems with a user interface and extensions for handling geometric data, and (4) web GIS which allow for visualisation, disseminating and some analysis over the web based on a client-server architecture. We consider the second to the fourth class as reduced versions of the first one and will not differentiate between the different classes in the remainder of this paper. We will also not try to give an overview of the existing commercial systems and their advantages and limitations. In contrast, we will look at an ideal system and discuss some of the extensions which we feel the user may require in a future GIS. It should be noted that we do not believe that one single system will or needs to have all the mentioned extensions implemented, but rather that we will see more specialised systems fulfilling one or the other requirement.

This paper is written from a photogrammetric point of view. It should thus not come as a surprise, that imagery plays a significant role. After having discussed modelling aspects of geo-objects in chapter 2, we elaborate on the integration of GIS and imagery and thus the integration of photogrammetry and geographic information science. Imagery also plays an important role in chapter 4 in which we deal with updating. Chapter 5 is concerned with data integration, and we briefly touch on interoperability and standards. In chapter 6 we illustrate the rather theoretical material presented before with the help of three examples, before giving a summary and some conclusions in chapter 7.

The reader will miss a number of important topics in geographic information systems in this paper. These include database issues (object-oriented database design, efficient access mechanisms, database consistency, query languages, federated databases, data security etc., see Laurini 1998; Gröger 2000; Breunig 2001), issues related to visualisation (3D, dynamic, interactive visualisation, see Buziek 2001; de Kraak 2002; Nebiker 2002), and the connection of GIS and the web (web-mapping, geomarketing etc.). As important as these topics are for the ideal GIS of the future, in the interest of space, a discussion of these issues is beyond the scope of this paper.

2. MODELING GEO-OBJECTS
We want to start the discussion in this chapter with the observation that, in most cases, we view the world as being composed of objects. We use the term “object” according to the object modelling technique (Rumbaugh et al. 1991). Geo-objects are objects with a spatial and possibly also a temporal reference. Each object has a unique identity and is described by geometric, thematic, radiometric and temporal attributes (radiometric attributes are needed to describe the appearance in the images to enable image analysis and realistic rendering), as well
as geometric/topologic relationships to objects, and their behaviour in terms of valid methods, see also figure 1. The object “knows” which methods are valid, and how these are carried out. It can be classified according to its description (attributes, relations, behaviour), individual objects are instances of a class or concept. The principle of inheritance allows for common use of attributes and methods between classes within a hierarchical is a relationship. This definition of an object differs from that sometimes found in geographic information science, in which the object behaviour is not considered part of the object and needs to be described separately.

![Figure 1. On the definition of a geo-object](image)

In general, we can distinguish two different methods to describe geospatial information: the **field-based** and the **object-based model**. The field-based model contains continuous information for the considered scene. Examples are a digital terrain model (DTM) or a temperature field; in essence, information is available everywhere in the considered region. Thus, together with information at node points, an interpolation function (a good example for a method, see above) needs to be specified to compute values at an arbitrary position. In the field-based model information is commonly represented as a grid, but also triangular irregular networks (TIN) belong to this group. In contrast to the field-based model the object-based model describes discrete entities by their location, shape, and size. Buildings, roads, trees etc. fall into this group.

For a long time information described in one or the other model was managed independently. DTMs have traditionally been collected and managed separately from the two-dimensional object information. Today, however, there is an increasing demand for 2.5D and 3D geospatial information. This demand was also expressed during a recent workshop conducted by OEEPE, the European Organisation for Experimental Photogrammetric Research (OEEPE 2001a).

**Topologic data structures** are particularly important for GIS analysis, because they make information about spatial relationships between objects explicit and thus extend the query space, i.e. the set of questions which can be answered by the system without heavy algorithmic computations. Therefore, topology plays a major role in modelling geo-objects. In two dimensions, node-arc data structures based on graph theory have been developed (e.g. the formal data structure for a single-valued vector map by Molenaar 1989; 1998; see also Gröger 2000). Point features are geometrically described by nodes, line features by arcs, and area features by a connected set of closed arcs (also called chains) representing the boundary of the area.

With the increasing interest in 3D and also in time, e.g. from geology or urban information systems, the two-dimensional topologic data structures have been extended. First suggestions for a **3D topologic data structure** were made by Molenaar (1990; see also the overview by Fritsch 1996).

These 3D data structures can be distinguished into different types, e.g. spatial enumeration or voxels (volume elements), constructive solid geometry (CSG) and boundary representations (b-rep; see also figure 2). Voxels are an extension of a 2D raster and contain object information only implicitly. They are not further considered here. CSG combines basic geometric 3D primitives (cubes, prisms, tetrahedrons, spheres etc.) by boolean operations. It is not always easy to construct a complicated object from the set of primitives, and such a construction may not be unique. Also, there are limitations with respect to the obtainable degree of detail. CSG models are successfully being used for photogrammetric data acquisition of buildings, see for example Gülch et al. (1999).

![Figure 2. Three possibilities for 3D data structures of buildings: voxels (left), constructive solid geometry (centre), boundary representation (right); from Pfund (2002)](image)

In the b-rep data structure a 3D object is described by the surface patches which form its boundary. Often these boundaries are simple geometric elements such as planar patches. The b-rep data structure is popular for 3D CAD systems and some GIS. It has also been employed for photogrammetric building extraction (Rottensteiner 2001) and it is often used in computer graphics for visualisation purposes, and is also incorporated into the virtual reality modelling language (VRML). A unique b-rep can be derived from a CSG model, but the inverse is not possible, since in general the CSG description is not unique.

Adding the analysis capabilities of a GIS as additional requirements for 3D modelling of geo-objects, the b-rep data structure is the natural extension of the node-arc structure into 3D. In this case, point features are represented by nodes, line features by arcs, surface features by faces, and three-dimensional volume features also called solids by a set of connected faces (see Molenaar 1990; 1998; Pfund 2002). Another approach which is suitable especially for representing 3D city models has been suggested by Plümer et al. (1998). This approach is based on 3D geometry combined with 2D topology. Therefore, it is possible...
to model vertical walls, but tunnels and bridges cannot be correctly described.

As far as modelling time and change in GIS is concerned (see also Wachowicz 1999), a distinction can be made between approaches connecting different epochs by so-called state transition diagrams, and approaches which explicitly model the process itself. The first approach describes different states of an object, changes from one epoch to the next can be restricted by setting conditions on the possible state transitions. The description of the objects needs to be augmented by temporal attributes such as a relative or absolute starting point and a life cycle (see e.g. Zipf, Krüger 2001 for a description of a 3D temporal data structure). This approach is useful for changing scenes in which individual snapshots are more important than the dynamic description of change itself. It is interesting to note, that the same concept has also been employed in multi-temporal image analysis (Grove 2001; Pakzad 2001). The second approach describes change explicitly as a function of time. Such an approach is useful for changes in which the dynamics are of primary importance, individual snapshots can then be generated via interpolation.

As soon as time and change are modelled, one must have a possibility for the geo-object to have multiple representations (Sester 2001). Here, the object-oriented paradigm comes into play again, since an object with a unique identity can have different descriptions in different environments. Similar mechanisms are needed in order to represent objects across multiple aggregation levels (scales), a task which needs to be solved in generalisation. One can also combine aggregation and time in order to model changing geo-objects across different aggregation levels.

From the discussions in this chapter we can formulate our first requirement for an ideal geographic information system: for describing the geospatial information the object-oriented modelling technique should be used, the system should have a topologic data structure, and it should be possible to model 3D geo-objects which can change over time and scale with multiple representations per object. Currently available systems are rather far away from this ideal system, especially in terms of topological data structures for analysis in 3D and time, but research efforts are under way to meet the mentioned requirements one after the other.

3. GIS AND IMAGERY

It is well known that the geospatial information constitutes the most valuable part of any GIS, partly because of the high cost involved in data acquisition and update, but also because of the long life-cycles as compared to GIS hardware and software. A particularly important issue is the task of populating the GIS databases with the core geospatial information. Core geospatial information, also known as core GIS data, base data or framework data, is usually considered to constitute the topographic information which serves as a common geometric and topologic foundation for application data in different disciplines and is usually provided by National Mapping Agencies.

In this chapter we elaborate at some length on the role of imagery within a GIS. Their role is threefold: images are a prime source for acquiring geospatial information, images serve as a backdrop to convey to the user information not explicitly available in the GIS, and images are indispensable for realistic rendering of a scene. Here we focus on using images to derive geospatial information.

Since many decades photogrammetry and remote sensing have proven over and over again their ability to meet the mentioned requirements for geospatial information (e.g. Englisch and Heipke, 1998). Therefore, photogrammetry and remote sensing provide the primary technology for core geospatial information acquisition and update. Ordnance Survey of Great Britain, for example, estimates that some 50% of the information for their mapping products will come from photogrammetric imagery in the future (Murray, 2001, personal communication), and similar numbers can be heard from other National Mapping Agencies. In the past, photogrammetry and remote sensing on the one hand, and geographic information science on the other were distinct disciplines, being mainly connected through data transfer from imagery to the GIS database. The increasing coherence between acquisition, update, and further use of the information, however, had significant consequences for their relationship. Already more than ten years ago, Dowman (1990) characterised a photogrammetric workstation as being an active window into the 3D GIS database, and two years later, Sarjakoski and Lammi (1992) laid down requirements for a stereo workstation in the GIS environment. Today, besides a bi-directional link to store information acquired from the images, but also to use existing GIS data as prior information for updating, a trend for a complete integration can be observed. In this sense, photogrammetry and remote sensing can be described as a three dimensional data acquisition module of GIS, using multi-sensor, multi-spectral, and multi-temporal images, including data from laser scanners and interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) as primary data sources. For orientation purposes, the corresponding sensor system is equipped with a GPS (Global Positioning System) receiver, an IMU (Inertial Measurement Unit) and software for AAT (Automatic Aerial Triangulation); collateral information, such as the co-ordinates of ground control points (GCPs) can be used optionally. In essence, the results of the sensor system are oriented images, available immediately after data acquisition, and a dense set of 3D points describing the object surface. All tasks connected with further data processing, may they be termed photogrammetric or not, can then be considered as GIS modules working on a common database.

Figure 3 depicts such a conceptual integration of photogrammetry, remote sensing and geographic information science. GIS modules for data processing comprise the generation of DTM, ortho-images and ortho-image maps, the acquisition of vector data, and also the analysis and visualisation of the data. Image analysis tools (e.g. Gülch 2000; Heipke et al. 2000; Liedtke et al. 2001) fit into this scheme as additional GIS modules.

![Figure 3. Concept of an integrated GIS and photogrammetry system](image-url)
To summarise this chapter, a modern geographic information system needs to be able to cope with imagery and contain modules for acquisition, update and processing of 3D geographic objects from imagery, traditionally considered as part of a digital photogrammetric workstation.

First steps towards realising such a GIS have been undertaken by the major competitors in the field, for example by combining the stereo analyst from ERDAS with ESRI’s arc/info, Socet set of LH Systems with the Lamps2 database from Laser Scan (Edwards et al. 2000), the ImageStation from Z/I Imaging with Intergraph’s Geomedia, or the Finish ESPA System with AutoCAD, MicroStation or Smallworld.

4. UPDATE AND REFINEMENT OF GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION

Updating refers to the task of comparing two data sets (one representing the current state of a database, the second one representing some more recently generated data set) with the aim to detect and capture changes, and to import these changes into the database. In our context the database is of course the GIS database, and the second data set can take the form of imagery, results from a field survey, or data acquired from some other source. In general it will be necessary to use multiple data sources for updating a GIS database. By means of updating the database is constantly adapted to the changes of the landscape. Updating is thus closely related to temporal issues in GIS. Updating tasks which need to be supported are the creation, deletion, splitting and merging of objects, and the modification of its geometric, topologic, and thematic and temporal description. Due to the demands of a number of applications – we only mention car navigation as a very obvious example – the updating cycles of the past amounting to various years are not acceptable for today’s GIS.

Refinement is the process of increasing the quality of existing data in terms of geometric, topologic, thematic, and temporal information. Especially the removal of GPS selective availability has led to the possibility to quickly detect geometric inaccuracies, resulting in a number of projects, especially in the US, to geometrically improve existing geospatial information (e. g. Woodsford 2001). Refinement also includes the extension of the thematic description on terms of additional attributes.

As mentioned updating and refinement both need quality descriptions for the existing and the newly acquired geospatial information in order to be able to actually improve the data quality. Such descriptions are also needed for many applications because the results of an analysis often depend on the quality of the input information. CEN (Comité Européen de la Normalisation) developed the model of ISO 19113 defining Meta Data Standards to describe data quality (CEN 1994). The model involves the quality criteria positional accuracy, thematic accuracy, completeness, logical consistency, and temporal accuracy (see also Joos 2000). Whereas a description of geometric quality based on statistical concepts (e. g. standard deviation of the position) is relatively straightforward, a description of the other criteria, and also of the topologic quality is more complex (see Gröger 2000, for a discussion on logical database consistency, and Winter 1996; Raggia 2000 for handling of topologic uncertainty). An additional problem is the propagation of uncertainty in the analysis processes (Glemser 2000).

Not only from a photogrammetric point of view updating from images is most attractive. The challenge here is to automate all three tasks; change detection, data capture, import into the database. One example for GIS updating from images will be given in chapter 6, another one is the ATOMI project of ETH Zürich and the Swiss Federal Office of Topography (Eidenbenz et al. 2000; Niederöst 2001; Zhang et al. 2001). It should be noted, however, that both projects are limited to the first two tasks (detection and data capture). The import into the database (e. g. Woodsford 1996) is also a challenging task. Two important concepts for the update of geospatial information are incremental update and versioning (Cooper, Peled 2000). Users often link the core geospatial information to some application data of their own and thus create value-added information. In order not to loose the viable links between the core data and the application data, once a new version of core data becomes available, it is mandatory to provide “change only” information. In this way the user is able to incrementally update his own data set only in those areas where change has actually occurred. Updating is often done in parallel by different operators possibly using mobile equipment, or in distributed environments. In this case, the versioning mechanism allows to give different users exclusive write access to parts of the database and to create various spatially non-overlapping versions. In a second step these different versions have to be merged to generate a consistent new data set. Incremental updating and versioning can also be used to record time series of events.

We can now formulate our next requirements: we want to be able to efficiently implement an automated update and refinement work flow using images and other data sources, to generate incremental update, and to obtain consistent states of the different versions of a database in an automated manner. Currently available geographic information systems still have a way to go to fulfil these requirements.

5. DATA INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY

5.1 On the Need for Data Integration

In many applications the topography of the Earth surface constitutes a common base for related data sets, but discrepancies and even disagreements often arise in mapping one and the same object. The reason is that the different data sets are typically based on different feature catalogues and have been collected for different purposes. Also, different sensors may have been used, data acquisition may have taken place at different dates, and so the quality and the resolution of the data most probably differs significantly. At the same time, geospatial analysis can often only be carried out by integrating different data sets (Devogele et al. 1998). The goals of data integration are:

- to use the existing data for various problems. The information which is not contained in one data set, can be taken from another one.
- to complete and enhance the data sets thematically. For instance from the intersection of one data set with another one, new thematic information can be derived.

2 In this text we use the term “updating” as a synonym for “revision”.

3 ATOMI = Automated reconstruction of Topographic Information from aerial images using vectorised Map Information
- to verify automatically the existing data regarding their quality, to correct them or to improve their accuracy.

Data integration refers to an integration on different levels: on the semantic level (when integrating roads from different data sets it must be ensured that the meaning of “road” can be mapped from one data set to the next), on a geometric level (two geo-objects describing the same object in the real world must have the same location), and on the syntactic level (in order to carry out an integrated data analysis the various data sets must be linked in one way or another).

Integration can take place between vector and raster (see chapter 3), two-dimensional vector data and DTMs and/or different vector data sets. In this chapter we will deal with the later two cases.

### 5.2 Integration of Vector Data Sets

In general the integration of different data sets is solved by matching techniques: objects of one data set are matched with corresponding objects of the other data set. This matching assumes that the data sets are available in comparable representations, i.e. the feature class catalogues can be mapped from one data set to the next. The actual comparison is carried out using search techniques. Matching constraints concerning the object classes (e.g. treatment of roads or water objects only) or the geometric position are typically taken into account. Also, object characteristics like form or size and relations between objects are often used, in addition the information contained in the meta data can be exploited.

The matching problem can be solved in different ways. One of the first approaches of matching vector data sets of different sources, also called conflation, was carried out by the US Bureau of Census (Saalfeld 1988): the census data were integrated with data of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) with the objective to improve the data quality. In many matching approaches the geometric position of the objects, as well as form parameters are used. This is reasonable, as long as a unique matching is possible. If this is no longer the case, besides the unary constraints, also binary object characteristics, i.e. relations, can be employed (Walter 1997).

Conflict resolution during the matching process (solving disagreements between the different data sets) is a particularly difficult issue, and it can only be handled by defining a properly chosen optimisation function based on a description of data quality (see also chapter 4).

These problems are treated on the one hand in the domain of the integration of heterogeneous data, on the other hand also when data of different scales have to be combined (van Wijngaarden et al. 1997; Badard 1999; Sester et al. 1999; Sester 2001).

### 5.3 Integration of 2D Data and a DTM

The real world is three-dimensional and an ideal GIS should be able to conveniently represent the major aspects of our environment. Therefore, the GIS should have capabilities to represent geospatial information in 3D. 3D data modelling has been discussed in chapter 2, another facet of this issue is dealt with in this section: the integration of a 2D data set and a DTM of the same area, which have been built up independently.

First, we are concerned with establishing an integrated 2.5D data structure. One approach based on triangulation can even be traced back to the roots of the TIN concept (Peucker et al., 1976). Later other authors have picked up the topic (e.g. Pilouk, Kufoniyi 1994; van Oosterom et al. 1994; Kraus 1995). The general idea is to integrate the object boundaries as edges into a DTM with TIN structure. As an important property of an integration process, Klötzer (1997) required that the terrain shape of the DTM-TIN should not be altered while adding nodes and edges of the 2D data. This condition prevents the quality of terrain approximation by the TIN from deteriorating during the integration process.

Various procedure for the integration task have been suggested. The approaches differ in the way they actually introduce the 2D geometry information into the TIN. Options include a sequential introduction of one node after the next, followed by the edges (Pilouk 1996; Klötzer 1997), hierarchical overlay (Abdelguerfi et al. 1997), and the introduction of a node followed by an edge, the next node, the next edge and so on (Lenk 2001). Care must be taken that not only the edges of the 2D data but also TIN edges carrying geomorphologic information remain unchanged, since otherwise the terrain shape is altered. Also, the resulting number of node points and the computational complexity should be kept to a minimum.

Another issue of the integration of 2D data and a DTM is the semantic consistency of the integrated data set. It can for example not be guaranteed a priori that a river will actually run downhill after the integration. This problem will in general arise if the used 2D data and/or the DTM did not have the necessary geometric accuracy. In order to solve this problem, it is not sufficient to only change individual 2D data points or DTM posts, but a consistent re-computation of all the surrounding information taking into account the semantic conditions is necessary. To give another example for the difficulties arising in semantic consistency, it is by no means guaranteed that a road cross section is flat along the whole road (as it should be), when only the road centreline and the width are available from the 2D data set. This second problem is more complex, because also attributes (in this case the road width) have to be considered during the re-computation.

It should be noted that once the two data sets are integrated, they need to be considered as one common data set. Otherwise, operations such as update or integration application data (see above) will result in inconsistencies.

### 5.4 Standards and Interoperability

On a more technical level an integrated data analysis can only be carried out, if the different GIS can “talk” to each other. This requirement can be translated into the need for standards. In recent research and development much attention has been paid to the developments of such standards. Major driving forces are the OpenGIS Consortium (OGC) and the International Standards Organisation Technical Committee ISO TC 211. It was realised that in order to avoid time-consuming and error-prone conversion of data between different systems, so called “interoperable geoinformation systems” should be developed.

OGC defines interoperability as the “ability for a system or components of a system to provide information portability and interapplicability, cooperative process control. Interoperability, in the context of the OpenGIS Specification, is software components operating reciprocally (working with each other) to overcome tedious batch conversion tasks, import/export obstacles, and distributed resource access barriers imposed by heterogeneous processing environments and heterogeneous data” (OGC 2002). Breunig (2001, p.8) explains interoperability as the “capability to exchange functionality and interpretable data bet-
ween software systems”. Both definitions clearly show that interoperability is much more than data format conversion or pure exchange of data. Spatial queries are sent from one system to a second one, where the query is interpreted based on a predefined protocol. In this second system data are subsequently accessed and possibly also processed, and the result (the answer to the query, possibly including data) is sent back to the first system.

Since a number of years, major efforts based on OGC’s “Open Geodata Interoperability Specifications” are being undertaken to realise interoperable GIS. Especially for access across the WWW the eXtended Markup Language (XML) and its derivative for geospatial information, the Geography Markup Language (GML) are of increasing importance, see also OEEPE (2001b) and Reichardt (2001).

Thus, our last requirement for modern geographic information systems is that it contains tools for data integration, and it should be an interoperable system. Currently, many system developers strive to fulfill these requirements, but some work still has to be done before interoperable systems with data integration capabilities will be state-of-the-art in GIS.

6. EXAMPLES

In this chapter we will describe a few examples to illustrate some of the issues discussed in the previous parts of the paper. The examples are drawn from current projects running at our institute. We don’t claim that these projects ideally describe each individual topic, we have selected them, because we simply know them best.

6.1 CROSSES – 3D Geospatial Information for a Non-conventional Application

CROSSES stands for CROwd Simulation System for Emergence Situations. The main objective of the project is to provide virtual reality tools for training people to efficiently respond to urban emergency situations involving human crowds. Typical urban emergency situations are for example a fire breaking out in the centre of a city, a bomb exploding in a crowded neighbourhood, or riots in a football stadium. When confronted with such situations, the reactions of people are in general very difficult to control, and the emergency plans elaborated in advance may be inefficient or insufficient. CROSSES provides training for such scenarios in a real-time simulator. The trainee, for example a policeman, is part of the scenario, his task is to react properly to an emergency situation in order to save the life of people and minimise danger. Artificial autonomous humans (avatars) move around freely in the scene. The avatars are implemented as autonomous agents, and their individual behaviour is not predefined. This is a major difference to standard computer games. Each avatar has an individual behaviour coded in rules, collectively the avatars constitute the crowd. They can run away from a fire, panic in one way or another etc. CROSSES also has a sound modelling sub-system to increase the perception of realism during the training. The different components are depicted in figure 4, a snapshot from one of the scenarios can be seen in figure 5.

Realism in the simulation is necessary to a degree that the trainee can recognise the surroundings, so he can activate his background knowledge about this specific scene. Also, he must be able to recognise the dynamic actions of the avatars such as crying for help. We do not, however, need to please the human senses as is the case when producing virtual movies.

Geospatial information comes into play, since the surroundings of an actual city must be provided. The necessary 3D city model has been generated based on high resolution aerial and terrestrial images. The city model has three roles: (1) most obviously it serves as a backdrop for the visualisation of the scene, (2) it is also needed in sound modelling, because the reflection of sound depends on the surface material (land cover) and the location of obstacles (e.g. buildings), (3) finally the city model also defines the areas where avatars can move around; for example, they can walk on roads and open spaces, but not through buildings and trees.

The approach we have taken for automatic 3D city modelling focuses on building and trees and is described in Straub, Heipke (2001) and Gerke et al. (2001).
The goal of CROSSES is not to develop a geographic information system, but CROSSES has geospatial information at its core. It integrates 2D and 3D data and aspects of time. Besides data acquisition, each avatar needs real-time geometric routing for obstacle avoidance, and dynamic 3D visualisation is a major component of the system. We have included this example in the paper, since it illustrates the different requirements coming from the various applications. Whereas sound modelling only needs a rather crude city model, and the avatar routing can effectively be done in 2D, the visualisation requires a high degree of detail and a combination of information from aerial and terrestrial imagery, and all applications need consistent data. Realistic rendering of the avatars requires furthermore a DTM as soon as the city is somewhat hilly. A system like CROSSES can only be realised in a modular design, grouping the involved software components around a geospatial database with well defined interfaces (we use VRML in this project). As is often the case in such interdisciplinary projects, the geospatial information thus links the different other components and provides the base for the whole project.

6.2 Quality Control and Update of Road Data from Imagery

In this section we describe work on automated quality control of roads given in the German ATKIS DLMBasis (see Busch, Willrich 2002; Willrich 2002 for a more detailed description). In Germany we have approximately 1.1 Mio. km of roads, and it is estimated that there are 10-15% changes per year. At the same time roads are probably the most important topographic objects of the country. Therefore, it is of paramount interest to have a high quality road database which implies very short updating cycles. In central Europe such cycles can hardly be reached using optical imagery due to clouds. Nevertheless, a periodic quality control of the update information, acquired by other means, with the help of imagery is an important safeguard against the deterioration of the database.

In a common project between the Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie (BKG, Federal Agency for Cartography and Geodesy) and the University of Hannover (IPI, Institut für Photogrammetrie und Geoinformation and TNT, Institut für Theoretische Nachrichtentechnik und Informationsverarbeitung) we derive a quality description for ATKIS DLMBasis road data. Our developments exploit the ATKIS scene description while extracting the roads from the panchromatic ortho-images and comparing the extraction results to the ATKIS information.

The system being currently developed is designed to combine fully automatic analysis with interactive post-processing by a human operator. The development is embedded in a broader concept of the knowledge-based system geoAIDA (Liedtke et al. 2001), providing functionality from photogrammetry, geographic information science, and cartography for the acquisition and maintenance of geospatial information. The system consists of three major parts (see figure 6):

- a GIS component which basically selects and exports the road data from a database, and provides for manual post-editing of the results,
- an image analysis component, which automatically checks the existing road data (verification) and checks the imagery for additional roads (change acquisition),
- a process control component which derives the strategy for image analysis routines from the GIS data.

The most challenging task is the realisation of the image analysis component. We use the approach developed at TU Munich by Wiedemann (2002). The algorithm is optimised for open, rural terrain and has been adapted for our specific task by incorporating prior GIS knowledge, for example the road direction in the verification step. For quality control we classify the road extraction results into three groups, namely accepted, ambiguous, and rejected. In verification, accepted means that a road contained in the database could be extracted from the imagery, rejected refers to roads not having been found in the image, and ambiguous means that based on the derived results a decision cannot be taken. In change acquisition another class, new roads, is generated, however without a quality description at the present state of development. Currently, the classes ambiguous, rejected and new roads are reported back to a human operator for further processing.

The system has been tested with 30 ortho-images covering an area of 10 x 12 km² near Frankfurt/M. The ortho-images are available as standard products from the State Survey Authorities and have a ground resolution of 0.4 m. The investigated area contains approximately 5,000 roads in rural landscape, 79% were accepted by the system, 17 % were rejected, and in 4 % no decision could be taken. Since the images and the ATKIS data were from about the same time, change acquisition did not yield any statistically relevant data. Figure 7 shows an example of obtained results.
They demonstrate the usefulness of the described concept and the implemented prototype. In the near future we will investigate in more detail the reasons for rejection, improve the change acquisition sub-system, and look at the role of road-crossings for verification and in particular for change acquisition.

The project is a good example for the integration of photogrammetry and geographic information science. Although the different components are not yet fully combined as in the ideal system described in chapter 3, the trend is more than evident.

6.3 The Radial-topological Algorithm for Integrating 2D Geospatial Information and a DTM

The last example describes recent work in the domain of 2D/3D integration. The developed method integrates existing piecewise linear 2D data into a TIN. The basic principle of the algorithm is illustrated by figure 8.

The area of a triangle and its neighbours as well as its incident edges and points may be distinguished into distinct geometric locations. The basic primitive for this operation is the determinant computed by an oriented edge of the triangle and a point of the 2D data to be integrated into the TIN. The determinant will provide by its sign information whether the point lies to the left or right of the respective edge and in addition, it will deliver the area of the triangle given by the edge and the point. If the area equals zero, the point must be collinear with the edge, however it is yet not known whether it lies between the end points of the edge or somewhere else on the line formed by the end points of the edge.

Combining all the three determinants computed from the test point and the three edges of a triangle provides information whether the point lies on an edge or on a point of the triangle, or inside the triangle itself. If the location of the point is outside the triangle, the combination of determinants delivers an adjacent triangle which serves as input for the next determinant test.

Extending this approach leads to a procedure which sequentially integrates points and edges of the 2D data into a TIN, while navigating along the 2D data. The basic primitive for this operation again is the signed determinant. The respective determinants computed by the edges of the incident triangles and the end point of the next line segment to be integrated into the TIN will provide information where the next point is located. On this basis the 2D data can be integrated into the TIN. As the basic operation in this algorithm is a radial sweep combined with a topological walk along the 2D data and in the TIN, the algorithm is termed the radial-topological algorithm.

The above procedure solely inserts 2D data into an existing DTM-TIN. To derive a fully object-based model of the landscape, geometric features (points, lines, areas) have to be linked to their respective objects. Whereas point and line features can be linked to the objects with moderate effort, the situation for area features becomes a little more complex (see Lenk, Heipke 2002 for details).

We illustrate the results of the algorithm with figure 9, showing the Leine floodplain south of Hannover. The Leine runs to the south along the base of a small mountain and may be easily identified. The Eastern part of the area shows the floodplain with low relief energy. In the left of figure 9 the 2D geospatial information is depicted, in the centre one can see the DTM-TIN, and to the right the integrated model is shown.

This last example demonstrates that while the algorithms for an integration of 2D geospatial information and a DTM are complex, this task can be successfully accomplished today. It is estimated that such algorithms will be implemented into commercial GIS in the near future.

---

Figure 7. Results of road verification (white roads are accepted, dashed roads are ambiguous, black roads are rejected; from Willrich 2002)

Figure 8. Division of the plane for the radial-topologic algorithm (see text for details, from Heipke, Lenk 2002)

Figure 9. The Leine floodplain south of Hannover (see text for details)
7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have discussed various requirements for modern geographic information systems. They shall be repeated here in a coherent form.

- The system should provide a topologic data structure, and it should be possible to model 3D geo-objects as objects following the object-oriented paradigm, which can change over time and scale with multiple representations per object.
- A modern geographic information system needs to be able to cope with imagery and contain modules for acquisition, update and processing of 3D geo-objects from imagery, traditionally considered as part of a digital photogrammetric workstation.
- We require to be able to efficiently implement an automated update and refinement work flow using images and other data sources, to generate incremental update, and to obtain consistent states of the different versions of a database in an automated manner.
- The GIS should contain tools for data integration, and it should be an interoperable system.

Currently, systems available on the market are rather far away from this ideal system, but research and development efforts are under way and will hopefully meet these and also other requirements not discussed in this paper, e.g. relating to database management systems, analysis, visualisation and dissemination of geospatial information. Only if these requirements are at least partly met, can we hope to successfully cope challenges involved in applications as setting up a European geospatial information infrastructure or location based services.
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